

Message

From: Harrington, Jim (DEC) [jim.harrington@dec.ny.gov]
Sent: 8/13/2015 2:04:56 PM
To: Rich Humann [rhumann@h2m.com]
CC: Gary E. Loesch [gloesch@h2m.com]; Garbarini, Doug [Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]; Stan Carey [scarey@massapequawater.com]; mboufis@bethpagewater.com; sfwdralph@gmail.com; Gary E. Loesch [gloesch@h2m.com]; pgranger@h2m.com [Pgranger@h2m.com]; Mannino, Pietro [Mannino.Pietro@epa.gov]; Schick, Robert (DEC) [robert.schick@dec.ny.gov]; Ryan, Michael (DEC) [michael.ryan@dec.ny.gov]
Subject: RE: Interpretive Report for On-Site Containment System Hydraulic Effectiveness Program - October 2014

Rich – I'm surprised at the question since you have been involved in DEC projects for long. The only time that we issue a responsiveness summary is when we issue a Record of Decision and it is in response to public comment received on a proposed remedy. We have never prepared a responsiveness summary on a report that has been submitted to us. Jim H

James B Harrington, PE
Director, Remedial Bureau A
Division of Environmental Remediation
518 -402-9624

Please note my new email address
Jim.Harrington@dec.ny.gov

From: Rich Humann [mailto:rhumann@h2m.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 4:24 PM
To: Harrington, Jim (DEC)
Cc: Gary E. Loesch; 'Garbarini, Doug'; Stan Carey; mboufis@bethpagewater.com; sfwdralph@gmail.com; Gary E. Loesch; pgranger@h2m.com; Mannino, Pietro; Schick, Robert (DEC); Ryan, Michael (DEC)
Subject: Interpretive Report for On-Site Containment System Hydraulic Effectiveness Program - October 2014

Jim,

A few of months back, I had the opportunity to review the 229 page report you forwarded to me in April. Thanks. Typically, when a report is finalized, there is a responsiveness summary included to address comments provided by DEC or others during the report review period. Since this report did not include a responsiveness summary, I assumed it was possibly not the final accepted report. I went to the Bethpage Library to review the copy of the final accepted report with responsiveness summary, but there was no copy at the Library, although there were copies of more recent reports

I'm interested in reviewing the DEC comments and responsiveness summary for the report. Could you please forward to me or let me know it would be available at the Library.

Thanks

Rich

Rich Humann, P.E.
President | CEO
H2M architects + engineers

539 Broad Hollow Road, 4th Floor East, Melville, NY 11747
tel 631.756.8000 x1421 | mobile 631.252.3778 | fax 631.694.4122

From: Harrington, Jim (DEC) [<mailto:jim.harrington@dec.ny.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 9:26 AM

To: Rich Humann

Cc: Gary E. Loesch; 'Garbarini, Doug'; Stan Carey; mboufis@bethpagewater.com; sfwdralph@gmail.com; Gary E. Loesch; Paul J. Granger; Mannino, Pietro; Schick, Robert (DEC); Ryan, Michael (DEC)

Subject: RE: Agenda Items for Next NWIRP-Grumman Quarterly Coordination Meeting

This message's contents have been archived by the Barracuda Message Archiver.

[Report_hwd130003a.2014-10-08_NGC-ARCADIS_Hydraulic_Effectiveness_Evaluat...pdf \(18.6M\)](#)

Rich – In response to your voice mail I already forwarded the recent report which concludes that the ONCT is working. It is attached to this email for everyone else. You are correct that on the surface there appears to be a disparity in the statements that full containment is viable in one place and not another – until you actually look at the differences. Containment at the property line is viable on many sites and is sometimes selected. At the property line or in close proximity to the source, plumes are relatively small and a containment remedy to control highly contaminated groundwater is often deemed implementable. While down gradient plume containment has been selected other sites (as Mr. Saladino pointed out at the meeting), containment of the Grumman plume at its down gradient extent was evaluated in the FS and not selected. This determination has been reaffirmed several times since that initial review, most recently by the joint Navy/EPA/USGS review in Jan 2012. However, as required by the recently enacted law, it will be re-evaluated. DEC plans to task an engineering firm to conduct a thorough review of all available information, and an assessment of the feasibility/implementability of this remedial alternative. Jim H

James B Harrington, PE
Director, Remedial Bureau A
Division of Environmental Remediation
518 -402-9624

Please note my new email address
Jim.Harrington@dec.ny.gov

From: Rich Humann [<mailto:rhumann@h2m.com>]

Sent: Friday, April 03, 2015 12:26 PM

To: Harrington, Jim (DEC)

Cc: Gary E. Loesch; 'Garbarini, Doug'; Stan Carey; mboufis@bethpagewater.com; sfwdralph@gmail.com; Gary E. Loesch; pgranger@h2m.com; Mannino, Pietro; Schick, Robert (DEC); Ryan, Michael (DEC)

Subject: Agenda Items for Next NWIRP-Grumman Quarterly Coordination Meeting

Jim,

My apologies as I had to leave the RAB meeting early Wednesday evening for another commitment – so I did not get an opportunity to speak with you after your comments that night. I'd like our group to discuss two specific items at our next meeting.

I was shocked when you made the statement that the DEC has concluded that the ONCT is fully intact and successfully preventing any off-site migration of groundwater contamination. I'm assuming the DEC has data that we have not seen

yet to reach the conclusion. To me, unless I see that sufficient VPB work has been done just downgradient of the site confirming a full "Top to Bottom" clean water interface within the Magothy down to the top of the Raritan, I will continue to strongly disagree. I look forward to gaining a full understanding of the basis for the DEC conclusion at our next meeting.

When the topic of plume containment was discussed based on Assemblyman Saladino's bill, reference was made to the fact that the DEC reviewed this approach in 2001 and dismissed it then, so it would likely dismiss it now. I strongly suggest that the DEC be very careful here. The DEC decision back in 2001 not to try and contain the plume might be the fatal flaw in the program and will likely haunt us for decades. Bethpage urged the DEC to pursue extraction wells north of Hempstead turnpike – and in our opinion, the dismissal of the alternative was based on short sighted superficial review. Since you were not involved back then, I suggest you review the data and details at the time to be fully confident in the decision making. Also, as an aside, it's interesting that on the one hand you consider the ONCT as a full containment system with no possibility of leakage, and on the other hand have a position that full containment is impossible. I fully grant that what is off-site is an expanded version of what's on site, still it's interesting.

I had left a message for you, so if you want to discuss any of this prior to our next meeting, that would be great. Just let me know.

Thanks

Rich

Rich Humann, P.E.
President/CEO

H2M architects + engineers

538 Broad Hollow Road, 4th Floor East, Melville, NY 11747
tel 631.756.8000 x1421 | mobile 631.252.3778 | fax 631.694.4122

Follow us on: [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#) | [LinkedIn](#)
www.h2m.com

From: Garbarini, Doug [<mailto:Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov>]

Sent: Monday, March 23, 2015 5:10 PM

To: Stan Carey; mboufis@bethpagewater.com; sfwdralph@gmail.com; Gary E. Loesch; Rich Humann; Paul J. Granger; Mannino, Pietro; Schick, Robert (DEC); Ryan, Michael (DEC); Harrington, Jim (DEC)

Cc: Gary E. Loesch

Subject: NWIRP-Grumman Quarterly Coordination Meeting

Hi

Looking forward to meeting with you tomorrow morning. Below is a suggested agenda that we can modify if you have suggested additions or changes.

Also, attached are the incoming letter from Senator Schumer and the recent EPA response.

See you all tomorrow

Doug

1. Status of Additional "Hotspot" work by Navy
 - Plant 6 Pilot Study
 - Additional delineation

- Plans for addressing contamination not captured by 6-2
- 2. Disposition of treated water if well 6-2 utilized to capture mass of the hotspot
- 3. Status of NYSDEC/NG enforcement agreement discussions
- 4. Status of Sentinel Well installation
- 5. February 5, 2015 letter from Water Districts
- 6. Next Quarterly Meeting/When to extend Invitations to Navy and Northrop Grumman to participate

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: Thanking you in advance for your cooperation, the information contained in this message and any attachments is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If the person actually receiving this email is not the named or intended recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and kindly delete this e-mail from your system. We appreciate your time and attention.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: Thanking you in advance for your cooperation, the information contained in this message and any attachments is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If the person actually receiving this email is not the named or intended recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and kindly delete this e-mail from your system. We appreciate your time and attention.